ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Exploring the inhibitory potential of hormone replacement therapy drugs on glutathione transferase P1-1

Yaman Muşdal ^{1,2}	ABSTRACT Com
¹ Department of Pediatric Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Harettene University, Ankara Turkey	Aim: Glutathione transferase P1-1 (GST P1-1) plays a crucial role in the human phase II detoxication system and is implicated in drug resistance in certain cancer cells. Analogs of drugs used in Hormone Replacement Therapy (HRT) have been reported to exhibit inhibition of various GSTs. This study aims to investigate the inhibitory potential of several HRT drugs on GST P1-1 for the possible use of counteracting drug resistance and for other therapeutic purposes.
	Materials and Methods: GST P1-1 was expressed and then purified in a single step using Nickel Sepharose affinity chromatography. Synthetic drugs that are used in HRT were screened for inhibition of GST P1-1 using 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene as the substrate. The IC50 value of the most potent compound was calculated and binding location and formation of bonds to GST P1-1 were identified through docking analysis.
	Results: Screening the inhibitory effect of eight synthetic estrogenic drugs reveals that estradiol valerate is the most potent inhibitor, showing 72 \pm 4% inhibition of GST P1-1. This effect is followed by estradiol cypionate 53 \pm 5%, mestranol 39 \pm 4%, and estradiol propionate 35 \pm 2%. The most potent compound estradiol valerate has an IC50 value of 30 \pm 2 μ M. According to docking analysis, it binds to the H-site of the enzyme where the residues Phe9, Arg14, Val36, Trp39, Ile105, Tyr109, Pro203, Asn207, and Gln210 were within 5 Å proximity of the ligand. Estradiol valerate forms Pi-alkyl interactions with Phe9 and Val36, as well as an alkyl interaction with Ile104.
² Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden	Conclusion: Estradiol valerate is a modest inhibitor for hGST P1-1, however it fits in the area of H-site of the enzyme and forms bonds with critical key residues. Understanding its binding site on the enzyme is critical for designing other inhibitors targeting GSTs or possibility for the potential use as a substrate with other GSTs.
³ Department of Chemistry, Scripps Research, La Jolla, CA, USA	
Corresponding Author: Yaman Musdal E-mail: ymusdal@hacettepe.edu.tr	Keywords: Glutathione transferase P1-1, synthetic estrogens, enzyme inhibition, cancer, docking analysis.

Received: 19 April 2024, Accepted: 1 July 2024, Published online: 30 September 2024

INTRODUCTION

Glutathione transferases (GSTs; EC 2.5.1.18) is a diverse and essential enzyme family widely distributed across living organisms. They play multifaceted roles, primarily associated with the detoxication of both endogenously and exogenously produced compounds. These enzymes catalyze the conjugation of glutathione (GSH) to electrophilic regions present on hydrophobic molecules, increasing their solubility for elimination from the organisms [1]. Apart from the canonical detoxication functions, human GSTs catalyze isomerization reactions involved in steroid hormone biosynthesis and participate in intracellular transport by binding small ligands such as: bilirubin, steroids, drugs, etc. Moreover, they play a key point in modulating apoptosis through their influence on c-Jun-N-terminal kinase [2-4].

In mammals, seven classes of cytosolic GSTs (alpha, pi, mu, zeta, sigma, omega, and theta) are found, and several of the classes contain multiple isoenzymes [5]. Each GST exhibits unique tissue distribution and substrate specificity, contributing to the body's overall detoxication capacity and other functions. For instance, alpha, mu and pi are involved in detoxication processes, sigma in prostaglandin synthesis, zeta in tyrosine metabolism, omega in oxidative stress response, and theta in the metabolism of various xenobiotics [1,4]. With the advance of database information and utilization of artificial intelligence, it is predicted that the human GST family is more extensive than previously known, with additional members and unknown functions [6]. The enzymes exist in dimeric forms, with each subunit containing an active site composed of two distinct binding sites known as G-site and H-site. The G-site, where GSH binds to specific amino acid residues, is conserved among the GSTs, whereas the residues in the H-site are variable [7].

GST P1-1, also known as GST Pi, is the most extensively studied isoenzyme in humans. Due to its high-level expression in some cancer cells, cause of chemoresistance, and regulatory role in apoptosis, it is of interest in cancer research [8,9]. Consequently, specific and potent inhibitors for GST P1-1 are being pursued by screening large libraries of compounds, including diuretics, antidepressants, antifungals, insecticides, antimalarials, etc [10]. Repurposing of established drugs is an alternative to the design of novel agents, and the pharmacology and safety of estrogens have been studied extensively. The aim of this study was to evaluate the inhibitory potential of various estrogen derivatives on human GST P1-1. The inhibitory effects of these compounds were screened, the IC50 of the most potent inhibitor was calculated, and the binding residues in the enzyme were identified.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Expression and purification of human GST P1-1

The human GST P1-1 gene obtained from a K562 erythroleukemia cDNA library expressing the GSTP1*A allele was available in the pKXHP1 plasmid [11]. Escherichia coli XL-1 Blue cells were transformed with the plasmid via heat shock and grown on agar plates. A single colony was selected and inoculated in 2YT media, incubated overnight (ON) at 37 °C in a shaking incubator. A new 2TY culture was obtained by addition of 1:1000 of the ON culture, further grown, and induced with isopropyl β-Dthiogalactopyranoside (0.2 mM) when absorbance (OD600) of the culture reached around 0.4. The culture was incubated for an additional 16 hr. Cell pellets obtained by centrifugation (5000 g for 8 min at 4 °C) were resuspended in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM DTT, pH 7.0) supplemented with lysozyme, subjected to sonication (4 cycles of 20 sec), and centrifuged (10000 g, 50 min at 4 °C), resulting in a supernatant fraction [11].

The lysate was applied to a Nickel-Sepharose affinity column (Cytiva), equilibrated with binding/ washing buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4), and thoroughly washed. The matrix-bound GST P1-1 underwent elution with buffer (washing buffer including 300 mM imidazole), and dialyzed (10 mMTris-HCl buffer, pH 7.8, containing 0.2 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA).

Enzymatic assay

Enzymatic measurements were performed in quartz cuvettes with the contents 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5), 1 mM EDTA, and the substrates 1 mM GSH and 1 mM CDNB (1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene) [12]. The synthetic drugs obtained from MicroSource Discovery Systems were dissolved in DMSO at 10 mM concentration. The percentage (1% v/v) of solvent present in the assay system has no inhibitory effect on enzyme activity. The inhibitor was added just before CDNB, and initial absorbance change was monitored for 1 min at 340 nm using Shimadzu UV-2501 spectrophotometer.

Docking analysis

Molecular docking studies were perfomed with Autodock Vina, provided in the Chimera Software [13,14]. The crystal structure of human GST P1-1 (PDB: 6GSS) and the ligand (estradiol valerate) were downloaded from the websites (https://www.rcsb. org/ and https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) in pdb and sdf formats, respectively. Water molecules, and ligands were removed from the protein structure. Incomplete side chains, hydrogens, and Gasteiger charges were added using using Dock Prep Tools. Similar preparations were carried out for the ligand including minimization and addition of hydrogen and Gasteiger charges. The entire protein was centered, and docking was executed. Results were visualized and analyzed using UCSF Chimera and Discovery Studio Softwares.

Statistical analysis

All measurements were made in triplicate and each point in the figures was given with standard deviation of the mean value. The IC50 value was calculated using the regression analysis program of GraphPad Prism 4.0. The IC50 represents the concentration that exhibits 50% of remaining enzyme activity.

RESULTS

In this study, the inhibition effect of synthetic estrogen derivatives used in Hormone Replacement Theraphy (HRT) on human GST P1-1 are studied. A total of eight compounds, distinguished by different side chains were screened in a concentration of 33 μ M. Among the results, estradiol valerate exhibited the highest potency, significantly reducing enzyme activity by 72 ± 4% at the tested concentration. The other compounds showed moderate inhibition, estradiol cypionate at 53 ± 5%, mestranol at 39 ± 4%, and estradiol propionate at 35 ± 2%, respectively. However, estriol, estrone, ethinyl estradiol and estradiol benzoate showed no inhibition (Table 1).

Table 1. Screening the inhibition of estrogen derivatives with human GST P1-1. Table shows percentage of inhibition of GST P1-1 in the presence of 33 μ M synthetic drugs assayed with CDNB substrate



Estradiol valerate was the most promising compound for further analysis. Inhibition analysis with varying concentrations of estradiol valerate, with saturated levels of GSH and CDNB, revealed an IC50 value of $30 \pm 2 \mu$ M (Figure 1). However, due to solubility limitations in measurements and turbidity observed at higher concentrations in spectrophotometer cuvettes, further kinetic experiments to elucidate the precise mechanism of binding and inhibition kinetics were impeded. Therefore, binding analysis of estradiol valerate docking to GST P1-1 was conducted using computer software.

The analysis, utilizing Autodock Vina software with the structures of estradiol valerate and GST P1-1, aimed to elucidate the ligand binding location on the enzyme and interactions with amino acid residues. Ten different docking analysis results were obtained, and the one with the lowest energy, scoring -8.4 kcal/mol, was selected. The docking studies showed that the ligand, estradiol valerate fits the H-site region of human GST P1-1. Amino acid residues within the range of 5 Å of the ligand included Phe9, Arg14, Val36, Trp39, lle105, Tyr109, Pro203, Asn207, and Gln210 (Figure 2). Notably, estradiol valerate formed π -alkyl interactions with Phe9 and Val36, and an alkyl interaction with lle105 (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

In cancer research, GST P1-1 holds significant importance, particularly in understanding and overcoming drug resistance. Increased activity of



Figure 1. Inhibition profile of estradiol valerate for GST P1-1 with the substrate CDNB.



with GST P1-1.



Figure 2. 3D structure of docking analysis. **(left)** Surface of GST P1-1 colored according to electrostatic potential with estradiol valerate (yellow). **(right)** Residues of amino acids within 5 Å proximity of estradiol valerate.

GST P1-1 leads to drug inactivation, contributing to resistance against drugs such as chlorambucil, brostallicin, and cyclophosphamide [15,16]. This inactivation might be accompanied by modulating apoptosis through its roles in signaling pathways and transport systems [17]. Based on these premises, several research techniques are being used to suppress GST P1-1 and enhance the efficacy of drugs in chemotheraphy through using specific and potent inhibitors, produgs and antisense cDNA [18,19].

Hormone replacement therapy remains a primary approach for managing menopausal symptoms caused by decreased estrogen levels, involving the administration of synthetic drugs to alleviate symptoms and restore hormonal balance. However, concerns have been raised regarding the potential risk of breast cancer associated with the use of these drugs [20]. Intriguingly, various compounds used in HRT have been described in research papers for their inhibitory effects on various GST from different classes [10,21]. The most potent inhibitors identified in the literature are ethacrynic acid, its analogs, Telintra compounds (TLK117, TLK199), NBDHEX, tin compounds (tributyltin bromide, triphenyltin chloride), and merbromine, all have IC50 values at concentrations <5 µM [10,22-24]. In this study, we identified estradiol valerate as the most potent compound, with an IC50 of $30 \pm 2 \mu$ M, making it a modest inhibitor (Figure 1).

In humans, all GSTs share a similar protein fold with less than approximately 30% of the amino acid composition preserved among the different classes. The diversity of amino acids in the active H-site enables the binding of a wide array of compounds, including drugs, hormones, enviromental pollutants, inhibitors, substrates, etc., attributing to various functions. According to data obtained from crystal structures of GST P1-1, Tyr8, Phe9, Arg14, Val36, Trp39, Tyr109, Asn207, Gly208 are the amino acids involved in the H-site of GST P1-1. These residues line the active site cleft and play a crucial role in binding and catalyzing the conjugation reactions between glutathione and electrophilic substrates [25]. According our docking results, estradiol valerate forms π -alkyl interactions with Phe9 and Val36, and an alkyl interaction with Ile105 (Fig 3). The contact with Ile105 is noteworthy since it is the signature

residue of the GSTP1*A allele, the most frequently occurring variant [26]. Residue 105 in the GSTP1*B allele is Val. Additionally Phe9, Ile105, Tyr109 and Gln210 are found within 5Å proximity of the ligand with other residues (Figure 2). The docking location of estradiol valerate indicates that the ligand fits in the area of H-site of the protein. Inhibitors binding to the H-site may also act as substrates provided that they contain electrophilic groups. The steroid nucleus of the estrogen derivatives studied here do not contain double bonds that can undergo a GST-catalyzed double-bond isomerization like 5-pregnen-3,20-dione or 5-androsten-3,17-dione, as demonstrated in our previous studies of GSTs from human and other mammalian sources as well as in the mosquito Anopheles gambiae [3,27,28]. However, it is well established that GSTs may display esterolytic activities [29] and recent studies demonstrate activity of GST P1-1 with fluorescein esters [30]. Therefore, estradiol valerate and other estrogen derivatives may also be worth testing as substrates of the members of the GSTome.

In conclusion, synthetic estrogen drugs that we studied have minor inhibition effect on human GST P1-1. Even the most potent estradiol valerate is a modest inhibitor. However, the knowledge about its binding location and formation of bonds with the critical residues might assist in the design new inhibitors or substrates targeting GSTs.

Author contribution

Study conception and design: YM and BM; data collection: YM; analysis and interpretation of results: YM and BM; draft manuscript preparation: YM and BM. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethical approval

No ethical approval is required for this study.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society and Carl Tryggers Foundation to Bengt Mannervik.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

~ REFERENCES Com

- [1] Mannervik B, Morgenstern R. Glutathione transferases in "Comprehensive Toxicology". Chapter 10.16, 4th ed. New York: Elsevier; 2024.
- [2] Kamisaka K, Habig WH, Ketley JN, Arias M, Jakoby WB. Multiple forms of human glutathione S-transferase and their affinity for bilirubin. Eur J Biochem 1975;60(1):153-61.
- [3] Johansson AS, Mannervik B. Human glutathione transferase A3-3, a highly efficient catalyst of doublebond isomerization in the biosynthetic pathway of steroid hormones. J Biol Chem 2001;276(35):33061-5.
- [4] Josephy PD, Mannervik B. Molecular toxicology. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK; New York: Oxford University Press; 2006.
- [5] Mannervik B, Board PG, Hayes JD, Listowsky I, Pearson WR. Nomenclature for mammalian soluble glutathione transferases. Methods Enzymol 2005;401:1-8.
- [6] Oakley AJ. Hidden Glutathione Transferases in the Human Genome. Biomolecules 2023;13(8).
- [7] Armstrong RN. Structure, catalytic mechanism, and evolution of the glutathione transferases. Chemical Research in Toxicology 1997;10(1):2-18.
- [8] Tsuchida S, Sato K. Glutathione transferases and cancer. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 1992;27(4-5):337-84.
- [9] Simic P, Pljesa I, Nejkovic L, et al. Glutathione Transferase P1: Potential Therapeutic Target in Ovarian Cancer. Medicina (Kaunas) 2022;58(11).
- [10] Musdal Y, Hegazy UM, Aksoy Y, Mannervik B. FDAapproved drugs and other compounds tested as inhibitors of human glutathione transferase P1-1. Chem Biol Interact 2013;205(1):53-62.
- [11] Kolm RH, Stenberg G, Widersten M, Mannervik B. High-level bacterial expression of human glutathione transferase P1-1 encoded by semisynthetic DNA. Protein Expr Purif 1995;6(3):265-71.
- [12] Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB. Glutathione S-transferases. The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem 1974;249(22):7130-9.
- [13] Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, et al. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2004;25(13):1605-12.
- [14] Seeliger D, de Groot BL. Ligand docking and binding site analysis with PyMOL and Autodock/Vina. J Comput Aided Mol Des 2010;24(5):417-22.
- [15] Townsend DM, Tew KD. The role of glutathione-Stransferase in anti-cancer drug resistance. Oncogene. 2003;22(47):7369-75.
- [16] Pljesa-Ercegovac M, Savic-Radojevic A, Matic M, et al. Glutathione Transferases: Potential Targets to Overcome Chemoresistance in Solid Tumors. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19(12).

- [17] Laborde E. Glutathione transferases as mediators of signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation and cell death. Cell Death Differ 2010;17(9):1373-80.
- [18] t Hoen PA, Out R, Commandeur JN, et al. Selection of antisense oligodeoxynucleotides against glutathione S-transferase Mu. RNA 2002;8(12):1572-83.
- [19] Allocati N, Masulli M, Di Ilio C, Federici L. Glutathione transferases: substrates, inihibitors and pro-drugs in cancer and neurodegenerative diseases. Oncogenesis 2018;7(1):8.
- [20] Sourouni M, Kiesel L. Menopausal Hormone Therapy and the Breast: A Review of Clinical Studies. Breast Care (Basel) 2023;18(3):164-71.
- [21] Abel EL, Lyon RP, Bammler TK, et al. Estradiol metabolites as isoform-specific inhibitors of human glutathione S-transferases. Chem Biol Interact 2004;151(1):21-32.
- [22] Mannervik B, Danielson UH. Glutathione transferases--structure and catalytic activity. CRC Crit Rev Biochem 1988;23(3):283-337.
- [23] Ricci G, De Maria F, Antonini G, et al. 7-Nitro-2,1,3benzoxadiazole derivatives, a new class of suicide inhibitors for glutathione S-transferases. Mechanism of action of potential anticancer drugs. J Biol Chem 2005;280(28):26397-405.
- [24] Dong SC, Sha HH, Xu XY, et al. Glutathione S-transferase pi: a potential role in antitumor therapy. Drug Des Devel Ther 2018;12:3535-47.
- [25] Oakley AJ, Lo Bello M, Battistoni A, et al. The structures of human glutathione transferase P1-1 in complex with glutathione and various inhibitors at high resolution. J Mol Biol 1997;274(1):84-100.
- [26] Allan JM, Wild CP, Rollinson S, et al. Polymorphism in glutathione transferase P1 is associated with susceptibility to chemotherapy-induced leukemia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2001;98(26):11592-7.
- [27] Mannervik B, Ismail A, Lindstrom H, Sjodin B, Ing NH. Glutathione Transferases as Efficient Ketosteroid Isomerases. Front Mol Biosci 2021;8.
- [28] Musdal Y, Ismail A, Sjodin B, Mannervik B. Potent GST Ketosteroid Isomerase Activity Relevant to Ecdysteroidogenesis in the Malaria Vector Anopheles gambiae. Biomolecules 2023;13(6).
- [29] Keen JH, Jakoby WB. Glutathione Transferases Catalysis of Nucleophilic Reactions of Glutathione. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1978;253(16):5654-7.
- [30] Fujikawa Y, Nampo T, Mori M, Kikkawa M, Inoue H. Fluorescein diacetate (FDA) and its analogue as substrates for Pi-class glutathione S-transferase (GSTP1) and their biological application. Talanta 2018;179:845-52.